Somalia Acute Food Security Situation Overview
Rural, Urban and IDP Populations: February - June 2015, Most Likely Scenario

**Aggregate Numbers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>% of Total Pop</th>
<th>Total Pop (000s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>4,480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>2,292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 10% of the population

**Defining Attributes of Crisis**

Areas in Phase 3, 4 or 5

#,#### (##%) Pop. and % in Phases 3, 4, and 5

0% - 100% Confidence of analysis

- Area has reached 3, 4 or 5 for more than 3 consecutive years
  - Acceptable
  - Medium
  - High

**Acute Food Insecurity Phase**

1. Minimal
2. Stressed
3. Crisis
4. Emergency
5. Famine

- Areas with inadequate evidence
- Not Analyzed
- IDP settlement
- Color depicts phase
- Urban settlement
- Color depicts phase

Area would likely be at least 1 phase worse without effects of humanitarian assistance

---

**Technical Partners**

FSNAU
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USAID

**FSNAU Funding Agencies**

unicef

EU
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Sweden

Common Humanitarian Fund